

## United States District Court for the eastern district of michigan

539 THEODORE LEVIN UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE 231 W. LAFAYETTE BOULEVARD DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226 www.mied.uscourts.gov

DIVISIONAL OFFICES ANN ARBOR BAY CITY FLINT PORT HURON

August 6, 2021

Sommers Schwartz c/o Tad T. Roumayah One Town Square, Suite 1700 Southfield, Michigan 48076

In Re: Monroe v. Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless
Case No. 17-cv-11892

Dear Mr. Roumayah,

KINIKIA D. ESSIX

COURT ADMINISTRATOR

PHONE: 313-234-5051

FAX: 313-234-5399

I have been contacted by Judge Drain who presided over the above referenced case.

Judge Drain informed me that it has been brought to his attention that while he presided over the case, he owned stock in Verizon Comms, Inc. His ownership of stock neither affected nor impacted his decisions on the case. However, had he known of his ownership of stock in the corporation at the time, it would have required recusal under the Code of Conduct for the United States Judges, and thus, Judge Drain directed that I notify the parties of the issue.

Advisory Opinion 71, from the Judicial Conference Codes of Conduct Committee, provides the following guidance for addressing disqualification that is not discovered until after a judge has participated in a case:

[A] judge should disclose to the parties the facts bearing on disqualification as soon as those facts are learned even though that may occur after entry of a decision. The parties may then determine what relief they may seek and a court (without the disqualified judge) will decide the legal consequence, if any, arising from the participation of the disqualified judge in the entered decision.

Although Advisory Opinion 71 contemplates disqualification after a Court of Appeals oral argument, the Committee explained "[s]imilar consideration would apply when a judgment was entered in a district court by a judge and it is later learned that the judge was disqualified."

August 6, 2021 Page 2

I do not have current address information for your client, Eric Monroe. As such, I request that you send a copy of this letter to Mr. Monroe's last known address, or his current address if you happen to have that information. With advisory Opinion 71 in mind, he is invited to respond to Judge Drain's disclosure of a conflict in the case. Should he wish to respond, he should submit this response in writing to me at the address listed above within twenty-one (21) days. Any response will be considered by another judge of this court without the participation of Judge Drain.

Sincerely,

Kinikia D. Essix

Court Administrator

WD Sol